

ANNEX 1 – INDIVIDUAL ASSESSMENTS

CANDIDATE: PROFESSOR GIUSEPPE MARTINICO

Individual assessment (Josep Maria Castellà Andreu)

1. Research and publications

The applicant is a brilliant scholar with a long (despite his young age) and intense academic activities. He has studied most of the areas of Public Comparative Law and European Constitutional Law from a comparative perspective. He learnt the methodology from his mentor, professor Pizzorusso, who guided his research steps until his death. Among his research fields and publications, I underline federalism and constitutional plurality, secession, dialogue among Courts and lastly the relationship among populism and constitutionalism. He has been editor of important journals and collective books at national and international level. All his publications show a great originality and the capacity to introduce new topics to the Constitutional debate. The participation in international projects and academic networks and his stays in foreign academic or research centers, as well (as the prestigious García-Pelayo fellowship) are also remarkable. He is well known and quoted by scholars in different countries.

2. Teaching activities

The applicant has developed an intense academic life in Sant'Anna, particularly teaching seminars and courses at graduate and postgraduate level. He has taught also in different academic institutions and has been a lecturer in some prestigious universities. He is able to teach perfectly in Italian, English and Spanish. He has been and he is supervisor of some PhD students, some of them with brilliant thesis.

3. Overall assessment

The applicant accomplishes perfectly the requirements to be full professor in Comparative Public Law. His full dedication to research, publishing and teaching activities and also his participation in national and international networks of constitutional law scholars make him an extraordinary candidate for a post at Sant'Anna. For this I fully support his promotion to full professor.

Individual assessment (Piero Castoldi)

My scientific expertise does not cover the Scientific Sector 12/E2 Comparative Law – Academic Discipline IUS/21 Comparative Public Law, hence my role within the Committee cannot enter the in-depth evaluation of the scientific merit. Rather, I will evaluate the quantity and perceived quality of publications, national and international visibility of the research and teaching of the candidate also considering the conclusions of the National Qualification Committee (Commissione Nazionale di Abilitazione).

1. Teaching activities

The candidate demonstrates a significant teaching activity in several areas relevant to the academic discipline of this selection. He has regularly taught many courses (in particular Master and PhD programs) at Scuola Superiore Sant'Anna since he was appointed as Associate Professor. He mentored several PhD students and he clearly demonstrated his skills on teaching through case-study as stressed in his self-evaluation document. Finally, he also had a few international teaching appointments. In my view, such teaching activity puts the candidate at the level of an outstanding qualification.

2. Research activity and publications

Although I do not belong to this scientific sector, I can see the merit of the candidate by his self-assessment. Citations of his papers and books came from prominent scientists or from top international organs of the European Union. The publications are multi-variate, written in different languages, touching many sectors of the discipline, clearly creating milestone of knowledge.

In the research activity, again with the limits of my scientific background, I can spot out various research topics that are original and the case-based approach in research not decoupled from systematic knowledge.

In conclusion, my judgement on the candidate research and publications is extremely positive.

3. Overall assessment

The candidate demonstrates a brilliant performance across all criteria and an outstanding record of scientific contributions. He fully meets the excellence criteria required by Scuola Superiore Sant'Anna for recruitment. Based on such considerations, I fully support his promotion to full professor (professore ordinario) at Scuola Superiore Sant'Anna.

Individual Assessment (Susanna Mancini)

1. Teaching

Over the past decade, the applicant has consistently taught courses in several areas of Comparative Constitutional Law and European Union Law in various academic institutions, at undergraduate, graduate and doctoral level. He has supervised numerous PhD students, and has been an invited speaker at various prestigious institutions. He therefore fully satisfies the teaching experience that is associated with the rank of Full Professor.

2. Scholarship and Research Experience

Throughout his career, the applicant has participated in countless high quality research projects, and has consistently published top quality scholarship. His works have been published in leading journals and books, both nationally and internationally. The applicant's work demonstrates a remarkable variety of academic interests, exploring, *inter alia*, issues of federalism, pluralism, supranational constitutionalism, illiberal democracy, secession and self-determination. His scholarship is marked by the depth of his legal knowledge, as well as by his creativity and original approach to legal scholarship, due to a rare combination of a thorough understanding of the technical dimension of the law with a rounded knowledge of legal and political theory. The quality of the applicant's work has indeed been widely recognized in legal academia. He is amply quoted by scholars in EU and comparative constitutional law, and he has contributed to numerous volumes edited by first class legal scholars. The applicant thus amply meets the requirements of the present call.

3. Overall assessment

The applicant is a leading academic and very few scholars of his generation in the fields in which he conducts his research combine the depth and breadth of knowledge and expertise that he demonstrates throughout his variegated and voluminous scholarship, and his ample teaching and research experience.

In my opinion he has thus more than fully earned all the qualifications that are required by the present call, and customarily associated with the rank of Full Professor.

Individual assessment (Francesco Palermo)

1. Teaching activities

The applicant presents continuous and relevant teaching activity in several areas of Comparative Public Law and European (Union) Law. Over the years, he has been teaching regularly in Pisa and occasionally in several other universities in Italy and abroad, in all sort of programmes: courses, seminars, individual lessons, at graduate, postgraduate and PhD level. The teaching experience makes him fully qualified for the position.

2. Publications, curriculum and research of the candidate

The applicant has an impressive record in terms of publications and is actually one of the most brilliant, productive and internationally recognized scholars of his generation. The quantity of the publications does not go at the expense of quality: to the contrary, most of the applicant's publications are among the most cited, both in Italy and in the international academic community.

In his research activity, the applicant has been dealing with a considerable number of topics. Inter alia, the interaction among legal orders, territorial pluralism, secession, constitutionalism and several other transversal issues.

The applicant's research has been constant over time, marked by a high degree of originality, is methodologically sound and is broadly acknowledged and referred to in the international debate.

3. Overall assessment

The applicant proves to be an outstanding scholar in his field and meets by far all the requirement to be appointed as a full professor. The assessment is positive in every respect.

Individual Assessment (Paolo Passaglia)

1. Research Activities

The applicant presented 20 publications, but in his CV the number of publications is considerably higher.

National and international publications are the expression of the outstanding profile of this researcher.

The applicant has dealt with several subjects concerning Comparative Public Law. All the subjects are absolutely coherent with the profile of a university professor of first level and they are also largely related to interdisciplinary topics. In this regard, a special attention deserves the in-depth analysis of the EU integration process, that is studied by the applicant from a comparative perspective.

The papers and the books the applicant presented were published in important journals and by renown publishers, with an international impact.

His researches, that were carried out with proper methodology, resulted in outcomes that are characterized by originality.

2. Teaching Activities

The applicant has carried out a significant teaching activity concerning Comparative Public Law. The number of courses and modules that the applicant taught includes seminars and lessons delivered to undergraduate, postgraduate and Ph.D. students.

3. Overall Assessment

For all this reason, the overall assessment of the applicant, Giuseppe Martinico, is fully positive, as he completely meets the requirements of the call and more than fulfils the standards associated with the professorial role.

Individual assessment (Marie-Claire Ponthoreau)

1. Teaching activities

The applicant presents a rich teaching activity covering many areas of comparative public law and European law. His teaching is mainly developed in Pisa where he is currently based (Sant'Anna). Occasionally, he has been involved in various training courses at the undergraduate, postgraduate and doctoral levels both in Italian and foreign universities.

2. Research activities and publications

The applicant presents an impressive number of publications (well beyond the 20 required) on most of the major current themes of comparative and European public law, in particular constitutionalism and populism, interaction between legal orders, territorial pluralism. This ensures a wide distribution both in Italy and abroad. His publications are also written in English in order to have a wider audience. In general, his research activities are carried out in English and always on transversal issues in a comparative perspective (in the long tradition of the University of Pisa). He is a researcher known and recognized beyond the community of Italian publicists.

3. Overall assessment

The applicant corresponds perfectly to the requirements in terms of both teaching and research activities. His academic experience makes him fully qualified for this position and to be appointed as a full professor.

ANNEX 2 – COLLEGIATE ASSESSMENT

CANDIDATE: PROFESSOR GIUSEPPE MARTINICO

The candidate Giuseppe Martinico, associate professor at the Scuola Superiore di Studi Universitari e di Perfezionamento Sant'Anna of Pisa, proves to have carried out an uninterrupted and diversified teaching experience and an important set of research activities, that are clearly established and have grown over the years.

As to the scientific output, he perfectly matches all the requirements of the call.

The main research interests of the candidate are related to Comparative Public Law and to the EU integration process. Thanks to his multifaceted interests, the applicant is a scholar with a multidisciplinary approach to public law. The most recent publications confirm such an approach, focusing the attention on the relationships between populism and constitutionalism.

The candidate has engaged actively and efficiently in relevant projects at the national and at the international level and his academic contributions are remarkable both in terms of methodology and of the originality of the outcomes.

Overall, the committee expresses a unanimous positive judgment of the candidate and is in favor of his promotion to full professor.