

PUBLIC SELECTION FOR ONE TENURE-TRACK – PROBATIONARY, ASSISTANT PROFESSOR (RICERCATORE A TEMPO DETERMINATO) WITH FULL TIME TEMPORARY CONTRACT PURSUANT TO ITALIAN LAW NO. 240/2010, ART. 24 PARAGRAPH 3 LETTER B, AT THE INSTITUTE OF LAW, POLITICS AND DEVELOPMENT, IN THE ACADEMIC RECRUITMENT FIELD PRIVAT LAW (SC 12/A1) – ACADEMIC DISCIPLINE PRIVATE LAW (SSD IUS/01), ISSUED BY RECTOR’S DECREE NO. 39 DATED 24/01/2019

MINUTE OF THE PRELIMINARY MEETING

The Evaluation Committee for the selection of one tenure-track – probationary, assistant professor (ricercatore a tempo determinato) for the Academic Recruitment Field 12/A1 “Private Law” - Academic Discipline “Private Law” IUS/01, at the Institute of Law, Politics and Development (DIRPOLIS) of Sant’Anna School of Advanced Studies - Pisa pursuant to Italian Law No. 240/2010, Art. 24 paragraph 3 letter B, appointed by decree No. 176/2019 by the Rector, consists of:

- Prof. Elena Bargelli, Full Professor of Privat Law at the University of Pisa;
- Prof. Christoph Schmid, Full Professor at the University of Bremen;
- Prof. Pietro Sirena, Full Professor of Privat Law at the Bocconi University;

and convened for the first time, via teleconference, on 10 Ma 20192019, at 12 am from the following locations:

Prof. Bargelli located in Florence, connected via (means - address): elena.bargelli@unipi.it.

Prof. Schmid located in Bremen , connected via (means - address): cschmid@uni-bremen.de.

Prof. Sirena located in Milan , connected via (means - address): pietro.sirena@unibocconi.it

The draft of the following minute was agreed on and approved by the members of the Committee.

Prof. Pietro Sirena was nominated as Chairperson and Prof. Elena Bargelli was nominated as Secretary.

Pursuant to Art. 5 paragraph 2 of Legislative Decree No. 1172/1948, each member declared to have no kinship or affinity up to the fourth grade with any of the other members and that there are not reasons for abstention in relation to art. 51 of c.p.c.

The Committee reviewed the call (published on the School website <https://www.santannapisa.it/en/selezioni-e-concorsi/selection-two-tenure-track-probationary-positions-assistant-professor-1>) as well as the Regulations of the School regarding the recruitment for tenure-track – probationary, assistant professors (Art. 24 paragraph 3 letter B).

The Committee then carried/adopted a motion that the Committee's work should terminate by July, 26, 2019 (*four months from the date of the nomination decree*).

The Committee ascertained that the Staff Office communicated that two applications were received, and that both candidates were admitted to the interview with the Committee.

The selection will be effected by means of a public interview about the qualifications, the publications and the research projects submitted by the applicants. During the public interview, the Committee will also assess the level of knowledge of the English language.

After the public interview, the Committee will attribute a score to the qualifications, to every publication submitted and to the overall scientific production (including the research project) of the

candidates, according to the parameters established by Ministerial Decree 344/2011 and to the criteria assessed in the present meeting.

The winner will be declared on the basis of the scores obtained.

Then the Committee assessed the criteria for the score attribution to the qualifications, to every publication submitted and to the overall scientific production (including the research project) of the candidates, according to the parameters established by Ministerial Decree 344/2011 and taking into account the minimum score for the proper assessment.

Criteria for the score attribution on the basis of the interview

The Committee ascertained that only applicants holding at least three years of research experience (carried out pursuant to Italian Law No. 240/2010, Art. 24 paragraph 3 letter b or the Italian appropriate qualification (“abilitazione nazionale”) could be admitted to the selection. Therefore, in the assessment of qualifications at the following letter c), the Committee decided to consider *the first three years of research experience/the experiences pointed out for this purpose by each applicant* as fulfilment of the admission requirement for the applicants not holding the Italian appropriate qualification (“abilitazione nazionale”).

The Committee will assign a maximum score of 100, allocated as follows:

QUALIFICATIONS - maximum score of 40 to 100, allocated as follows:

- a) PhD or equivalent, awarded in Italy or abroad: up to 5, according to grades and prestige of the institution;
- b) (where applicable) teaching activities at university level in Italy or abroad: up to 5 (up to 3 for teaching activities at university level in Italy, up to 5 for teaching activities at university level abroad)
- c) documented training or research activities carried out in qualified institutes in Italy or abroad: up to 6 (up to 4 for documented training or research activities carried out in qualified institutes in Italy; up to 6 for documented training or research activities carried out in qualified institutes abroad)
- d) project activities as regards the academic recruitment fields where applicable: up to 6
- e) organization, management and coordination – or participation – in national and international research groups: up to 6
- f) participation as a speaker in national and international conferences: up to 6 (according to the number and the prestige of conference)
- g) national and international awards for research activities: up to 6 (according to the number and prestige of the awards)

The Committee will assign a score to each abovementioned item in relation to its significance in terms of quantity and quality of the research activities carried out by each candidate.

The Committee has decided to use the standardisation approach about the scientific production as compared to the academic age of the applicants and provides the following method of calculation: the reference year (T) is the year of the graduation Master level: academic age: $AA = (2018 - T) + 1$.

PUBLICATIONS - maximum score of 30 to 100

Publications will be assessed along with texts accepted for publication in accordance with regulations as well as the essays included in collective works and articles published in journals in paper or digital

format, with the exception of internal notes or departmental reports; the PhD or equivalent thesis will be assessed too, even though unpublished.

The evaluation of scientific publications will be carried out on the basis of the following additional criteria:

- a) originality, innovation, methodological rigor and relevance of each publication;
- b) coherence of each publication with the academic recruitment field and with the specific profile of the call, or with closely related interdisciplinary subjects;
- c) scientific relevance of each journal / publisher and its impact factor within the scientific community;
- d) analytical determination, on the basis of guidelines recognized by the relevant international scientific community, of the individual contribution of the researcher in the case of works carried out in partnership; (*here the Committee can specify the usage of the baseline scientific community*)

The Committee shall also assess the overall consistency of the scientific production of the researcher, the intensity and the temporal continuity of such production, with the exception of periods of involuntary removal from the research with particular reference to parental functions – such periods should be adequately documented. *The Committee has to specify whether use the standardisation approach about the scientific production as compared to the academic age of the applicants as defined above.*

The Committee, balancing the scores, in the case of works carried out in partnership, shall pay particular attention to the individual contribution of the researcher, considered also in terms of originality, innovation, methodological rigor and relevance of each publication.

The Committee will assign the following scores to the publications:

Books: up to 10 scores (each)

Papers in journals or volumes: up to 5 scores (each)

OVERALL CONSISTENCY OF THE SCIENTIFIC PRODUCTION - maximum score of 30 to 100, where 20 for the evaluation of the research project.

The Committee has decided to use the standardisation approach about the scientific production as compared to the academic age of the applicants as defined above.

In order to be eligible for the position, the applicants shall score a number of points equal or greater than 60.

The Committee decided that the maximum duration of the public interview with every candidate shall not exceed 40 minutes; during the interview, the Committee will also evaluate the level of knowledge of the English language using a summary assessment (i.e. not sufficient – basic – good – very good – excellent). *According to the art. 2 of the call, the candidates must have an excellent knowledge of both written and spoken English*

For the assessment of the level of knowledge of the English language, the interview will be conducted in English.

Prof. Bargelli opened the envelope containing the name of the candidates and communicated it to the other members of the Committee.

The candidates are:

Andrea Bertolini

Silvia Giavarrini

Each member of the committee declared not to be:

- a relative, or similar, to the fourth degree with the candidates (as per Art. 5 paragraph 2 of Legislative Decree no. 1172/1948);
- in a situation of incompatibility with the candidates (as per articles 51 and 52 of the Code of Civil Procedure).
- in any situation, actual or potential, of conflict of interests with the candidates (as per art. 6 bis of the Legislative Decree No 241/1990).

The Committee ascertained that the date of the public interview with the candidates has to be published on the School web site on May, 23, 2019 and decide that the interview will take place on 12 July 2019 at 16.00 and that the meeting for the assessment of the qualifications, publications and overall consistency of the scientific production would take place on 12 July 2019, at 14.30

At the end of the meeting, the Committee decided that the Chairperson/the Secretary would send a signed copy of this agreed minute to Staff Office, together with the declarations of approval by the other members of the Committee. These declarations form an integral part of this agreed minute.

The meeting was adjourned at 12 July 2019

THE COMMITTEE

The Secretary



Elena Bargelli

DECLARATION OF APPROVAL

I, the undersigned Prof. Elena Bargelli declare to have taken part via teleconference in the first meeting of the Evaluation Committee for the selection of one tenure-track – probationary, assistant professor (ricercatore a tempo determinato) for Academic Recruitment Private Law” IUS/01, at the Institute of Law, Politics and Development (DIRPOLIS of Sant’Anna School of Advanced Studies - Pisa and to agree with the present minute signed by the President/Secretary.

Place and date

Florence, May 10 2019

The Declarant

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Elena Bargelli', with some faint, illegible text underneath.

Prof. Elena Bargelli